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1 Introduction

This document describes the overall structure of the ONF Common Information Model (ONF-CIM) and provides guidelines on the process used to develop it and derive interfaces from it. Other more detailed guidelines, as described below, are also provided. These guidelines are intended to capture the best practices and will be updated to reflect the experience that is gained as the ONF-CIM evolves.

The purpose of the ONF-CIM environment is to provide a common repository for any information models that are developed in ONF. Figure 1 below provides an overview of the ONF-CIM and shows how purpose and protocol specific interfaces may be derived from it.

---

**Figure 1.1: ONF-CIM structure, related guidelines and interface creation process**

The first step in the interface creation process is to prune and refactor a copy of the ONF-CIM into a purpose specific IM view, then map this to a protocol specific data schema and the encoding used for the interface. The process of mapping to a protocol specific data schema includes the mapping of the common operation pattern (defined in UML) to the operations supported by that protocol. The term Data Schema (DS) in this document is used in the context

---

1 The term data schema is used instead of data model since the term data model is also used in a wider context and is sometimes used to refer to an information model
of either a specific protocol that is used to implement a purpose specific interface or, a programming language that is used to invoke a purpose specific API. The ONF-CIM (including the purpose specific views and protocol specific DS) are stored in an ONF-specific area of GitHub. Guidelines for the use of UML, the Papyrus tool and GitHub in the ONF-CIM are provided in [1] and [2]. Guidelines for pruning and refactoring the ONF-CIM to provide a purpose specific view, and ultimately mapping to a data schema and mapping to a protocol or programming language, are described in this document.

2 References


3 Abbreviations

API Application Programming Interface
ONF-CIM ONF Common Information Model
DS Data Schema
IM Information Model
IMP ONF Information Modeling Project
UML Unified Modeling Language

4 Common Information Model

An information model describes the things in a domain in terms of objects, their properties (represented as attributes), and their relationships. The ONF-CIM should include all of the artifacts (objects, attributes and relationships) that are necessary to describe the domain for the applications being developed.

It will be necessary to continually expand and refine the ONF-CIM over time, to add new applications, capabilities or technologies, or to refine it as new insights are gained.

To allow these extensions to be made in a seamless manner, the ONF-CIM is structured into a number of model fragments. This modeling process allows the fragments that contain these extensions to be developed, by the domain experts, with appropriate independence.

Over time, some parts of the ONF-CIM may need to be augmented or changed. Each IM team will ensure that any such areas are clearly identified using model lifecycle stereotypes

---

2 The Papyrus UML modeling tool supports the use of fragments (sub-models)
(essentially controlled annotations). The older model forms will be marked as obsolete to show that they shouldn’t be used for new work and maintained to ensure ongoing compatibility and to ease migration. The use of these stereotypes (e.g. experimental; preliminary; obsolete) is described in the UML modeling guidelines [1].

When a version of the ONF-CIM is released, all of the artifacts will be annotated with the model lifecycle stereotypes. All artifacts, including those marked as experimental or obsolete, will be in the ONF-CIM release.

4.1 Core model fragment

It is expected that the artifacts in the core model fragment will be used by multiple project teams. The core model fragment is organized into a number of modules each addressing a specific topic to allow for easier navigation. The Information Modeling Project (IMP) will be responsible for maintaining the core model fragment.

4.2 Technology/application specific model fragments

Technology/application specific projects will contribute to the CIM by developing fragments which contain the artifacts (objects, attributes and relationships) that relate to their area of expertise.

It is expected that new fragments will initially contain artifacts marked with the experimental stereotype. As the model is validated, for example by developing interfaces, the artifacts can be adjusted and moved through the lifecycle states. This allows for feedback between the model and an implementation. These technology/application specific fragments will be placed in the common repository where they will be available for reuse by other ONF IM teams. The lifecycle stereotypes allow all users of the ONF-CIM to understand the lifecycle state of particular artifacts and make informed decisions on using them in implementations.

In some cases the addition of a technology/application fragment will also require enhancement of the core model fragment. The ONF-CIM IMP team will work with the technology/application team to ensure that these extensions are backwards compatible, can be reused by other groups and are not duplicated in multiple projects. These enhancements may need to be carried out by the ONF-CIM IMP team, supported by the IM teams developing the technology/application specific model fragments3.

To assure coherency, any object, attributes or associations that might be identified during the development of technology/application specific views should be included in the appropriate fragment of the ONF-CIM. Only those properties that relate to the specific encoding or style of interaction of an interface may be added outside the ONF-CIM.

---

3 The core modeling team will often need to identify such cases and ensure that they work with the technology or application specific teams, as appropriate.
5 Interface Creation Process

A purpose specific information model is a true subset of the ONF-CIM and should be expressed in UML. These views should be developed by the relevant technology/application or interface specific project teams.

A purpose specific information model will typically be much smaller than the entire ONF-CIM. If additional artifacts (objects, packages, attributes or associations) are identified while establishing a specific view, these should be added to the appropriate fragment of the ONF-CIM so that they are available for use in the specific view (and in other views as appropriate).

To provide maximum reuse, a purpose specific view should be developed in two steps:

a) Prune and refactor a copy of the artifacts of the ONF-CIM to provide a model of the network to be managed. Only those artifacts that represent the network capabilities that are both necessary to satisfy the purpose and are supported by the network are included in the purpose specific IM.

b) Define the access rights for the various groups of users that will manage that network.

Pruning and refactoring provides a purpose specific IM that represents the capabilities of the network of interest. The definition of access rights provides the ability to limit the actions that can be taken by the various user groups that will use that IM. For example, a user group responsible for network configuration could be provided full read/write access and the ability to create or delete object instances; while a user group responsible for inventory may only be allowed read access (i.e. can see the network but cannot make changes).

5.1 Pruning and refactoring

The driver of pruning is to derive a model with a narrower scope or view. Pruning can remove objects/packages/attributes/associations that are not required. Some guidelines for pruning:

- Select the required object classes from the common IM
  - All mandatory (non-optional) attributes and packages must be included
- Select the required conditional packages and optional attributes
  - Where appropriate, conditional packages and optional attributes in the common IM may be declared mandatory in the purpose specific IM
- Remove any optional associations that are not required
- Where appropriate, convert attributes defined as read/write in the CIM to read only in the purpose specific IM
- Narrowing capability, e.g., remove the right to create/delete some or all object instances
- Narrowing multiplicity. For example, from [0..*] to [1].
- Note that enlarging multiplicity is not allowed. The CIM should be updated if semantics are missing.

Refactoring should be done as little as possible. For example, where the refactored view benefits from compressing the class model, or where there is some prior terminology and structure that is
in force in the area of application and there is no opportunity to change that (at this point)\(^4\). Some guidelines for refactoring:

- Collapsing of classes when reducing multiplicity (for example from \([1..\ast]\) to \([1]\))
  - When this results in a composition association of multiplicity \([1]\) between a subordinate and superior object class, they can be combined into a single object class by moving the attributes of the superior class into the subordinate class
- Splitting of a class along a view boundary where the two parts are related by a specific multiplicity.
- Where beneficial, reducing the depth of the inheritance (i.e. combining object classes by moving the attributes of the super class into the subclass)
- Adding reverse association navigability (if useful for the client)
  - In many places in the ONF CIM, there is only support for navigation from a subordinate object class to a superior object class. This allows new subordinate object classes to be added without any impact on the superior object class. In a purpose specific implementation it is frequently useful to be able to navigate the relationship between superior and subordinate object classes in both directions.
- Constraining attribute definitions
  - Reducing legal value ranges
  - Defining which (if any) attributes should be read only (for all users)
  - Defining constraints between attributes
- Use the Realization association with a specific stereotype \(<\text{PruneAndRefactor}>\) to maintain the traceability from the pruned/refactored model to the CIM.

### 5.2 Data Schema

A Data Schema (DS) is developed in the context of either a specific protocol that is used to implement a purpose specific interface or, a programming language that is used to invoke a purpose specific API. Note that it is possible to map directly from the purpose specific information model to interface encoding. Data schemas should be developed by the relevant technology/application or interface project team. The DS is constructed by mapping the purpose specific information model into the DS together with the operations patterns from the ONF-CIM\(^5\) to provide the interface protocol specific operations and notifications. The operations should include data structures taken directly from the purpose specific information model view with no further adjustment.

The development of the DS should consider the following:

- The operations should act on the information in a way consistent with the modeled object lifecycle interdependency rules as defined in the ONF-CIM.

---

\(^4\) The long term vision is fully converged terminology across the industry thus removing the need of relabeling classes etc.

\(^5\) ONF-CIM operations pattern is currently under development via work on specific interfaces, such as Transport API.
• Instance lifecycle dependencies should ensure sensible interface operation structuring and interface flow rules
• Some form of Transaction should be used over the interface to account for instance lifecycle dependencies of the model
  – The operations should abide by the attribute properties
• Read only attributes (except those which are defined as “isInvariant”) should not be included in data related to creation of an object (e.g. not in createData) or in a specification of a desired object structure outcome.
  – Use of attribute value ranges, etc. to allow “effort” statement, optionality and negotiation to be supported by the interface

5.3 Interface encoding
This step encodes either a purpose specific data schema or, a purpose specific information model into either a specific protocol that is used to implement a purpose specific interface or, a programming language that is used to invoke a purpose specific API. If the interface is encoded directly from the purpose specific information model then the interface operations must be added as described above. This encoding should be developed by the relevant technology/application or interface specific project team.

6 Common information model team
The ONF-CIM will be maintained by the Information Modeling Project (IMP) team. It is expected that each of the other ONF project teams that will use (and contribute to) the ONF-CIM will provide a representative to ensure that the work of the IM sub-team meets the needs of their project.

The IMP IM sub-team will develop and maintain the core model and maintain the library of all of the model fragments in GitHub. They will provide guidance (when requested) to the other IM sub-teams that are generating the technology/application specific fragments of the common IM or developing a purpose specific view of the common IM.